

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|--|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Digital Strong Room - Requirements | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | Requirement | Essential | Desirable | Type | OAIS functional entity |
| 4 | Ability to restrict access to the original digital objects (only by archivists/administrators) | Yes | | Operational | Access |
| 5 | Ability to integrate with archive catalogue system, ArchivesSpace | Yes | | Technical | Access |
| 6 | Ability to send the access copy of a digital object to a storage location separate from the preservation copy | Yes | | Technical | Access |
| 7 | Ability to apply different levels of access permissions to AIPs (open, closed, partially closed at object level, partially open at object level). Can apply PREMIS rights data at item level but this might only be feasible for items we want to restrict rather than adding rights metadata for all items. | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 8 | Ability to make some DIPs only accessible by permitted individuals (application of EASE user authentication) | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 9 | Ability to generate logs of access requests and failures | Yes | | Functional | Administration |
| 10 | Ability to delete AIPs and/or digital objects within an AIP | Yes | | Functional | Archival storage |
| 11 | Ability to generate logs of any disposals from the system | Yes | | Functional | Administration |
| 12 | Ability to generate error logs and flag those errors to Administrators? i.e if issues with users accessing objects, issues with ingesting objects | Yes | | Functional | Administration |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|--|-----|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 13 | Ability to create logs of SIPS ingested and performance of ingest tasks | Yes | | Functional | Administration |
| 14 | Ability to determine storage location dependant on record class (non-archival to be preservation processed but access to be sent to its Dspace repository) | Yes | | Operational | Archival storage |
| 15 | Ability to prompt where integrity checking identifies issues | Yes | | Functional | Archival storage |
| 16 | Be easily able to move digital objects to different storage environments, if necessary, and batch update links within the system | Yes | | Technical | Archival storage |
| 17 | Ability to connect AIPs if they relate to the same collection or add new content to existing AIPs | Yes | | Operational | Archival storage |
| 18 | Ability to automatically extract technical metadata and express as PREMIS Object metadata | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 19 | Ability to apply persistent unique identifiers at object level | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 20 | Ability to persistently associate data objects with its associated metadata | Yes | | Operational | Data management |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|---|-----|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 21 | Ability to generate metadata at different levels of granularity (collection, group or file level) | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 22 | Ability to close access to content at object level (some files may require protecting, i.e sensitive data, personal data, supplementary data (submission/donation agreements etc) | Yes | | Technical | Data management |
| 23 | Ability to record descriptive metadata (ISAD(G)/Dublin Core) | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 24 | Persistent association of representation information with the digital objects | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 25 | Ability to generate logs of all AIPS and their PUID | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 26 | Ability to identify and record a list of PREMIS Agents | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 27 | Ability to associate PREMIS Agents to Events | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 28 | Ability to identify and record a list of PREMIS Events | Yes | | Functional | Data management |
| 29 | Ability to associate PREMIS Events to Objects and Agents | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 30 | Ability to index content within textual digital objects | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |
| 31 | Ability to store supplementary documentation in the SIP (agreements, IPR transfer, transfer forms, checksum logs, virus check logs) | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|--|-----|---|-------------|-----------------------|
| 32 | Ability to store documentation relating to the pre ingest appraisal process in the SIP (deletion logs etc) | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |
| 33 | Ability to carry out file characterisation | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |
| 34 | Ability to conduct anti-virus checks | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |
| 35 | Ability to record Ingest process as a PREMIS event and log it | Yes | | Operational | Ingest |
| 36 | Scalability of software (must be able to handle large datasets) | Yes | | Technical | Ingest |
| 37 | Ability to run validation tools to establish what an object is and how well it is formed | Yes | | Functional | Ingest |
| 38 | Data and metadata should be portable (for migration to other systems if necessary - Exit strategy) | Yes | | Functional | N/A |
| 39 | Ability to integrate with Dspace | Yes | | Technical | N/A |
| 40 | System should be fully supported | Yes | | Operational | N/A |
| 41 | System should demonstrate evidence of sustainability | Yes | | Operational | N/A |
| 42 | Checksum validation on a periodic basis | Yes | | Functional | Preservation planning |
| 43 | Ability to normalize for preservation and access | Yes | | Operational | Preservation planning |
| 44 | Normalisation/migration should not replace the original | Yes | | Operational | Preservation planning |
| 45 | Ability to carry out technology watching/risk analysis of file formats | Yes | | Functional | Preservation planning |
| 46 | Ability to add preservation metadata to the record after the initial ingest (Events, Agents, Rights) | Yes | | Operational | Preservation planning |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|---|-----|-----|-------------|-----------------------|
| 47 | Ability to carry out migration at object level after an AIP is placed in the system | Yes | | Operational | Preservation planning |
| 48 | Ability to retain associations between migrated derivatives and the original (from migration process) | Yes | | Operational | Preservation planning |
| 49 | Ability to integrate with screen readers/assistive technology | | Yes | Operational | Access |
| 50 | Generate access copies on the fly...demand driven to minimise storage impact | | Yes | Operational | Access |
| 51 | Ability to provide statistical reports on usage/performance/volume/activities | | Yes | Functional | Administration |
| 52 | Ability to record/log any system upgrades, changes (such as new versions, changes to format policies, changes to migration/normalisation/characterisation applications) | | Yes | Operational | Administration |
| 53 | Ability to retrieve back ups of corrupted digital objects | | Yes | Operational | Archival storage |
| 54 | Operational statistics on storage management (capacity, downtime) | | Yes | Operational | Archival storage |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|---|---|-----|-------------|-----------------|
| 55 | Relationship to physical related material (hybrid archives) | | Yes | Functional | Data management |
| 56 | Ability to customise the PUI used (DataStore can mint its own DOIs) | | Yes | Functional | Data management |
| 57 | Ability to send descriptive information to ArchivesSpace | | Yes | Operational | Data management |
| 58 | Generate descriptive information from the AIP to send to ArchivesSpace | | Yes | Operational | Data management |
| 59 | Ability to create controlled vocabularies for PREMIS metadata | | Yes | Functional | Ingest |
| 60 | Representation information? Currently the FPR includes information from PRONOM, what about other rep information, format specifications etc where available? Can this information be included and persistently linked to the object | | Yes | Operational | Ingest |
| 61 | ability to ingest SIPs from variety of routes/sources (ftp transfer, network transfer, USB, CD etc) | | | Technical | Ingest |
| 62 | ability to implement a submission policy against which new SIPs are checked for basic conformity | | Yes | Functional | Ingest |
| 63 | Confirmation of receipt of SIP into the system | | Yes | Functional | Ingest |
| 64 | Quality assurance (validating checksums on ingest to ensure objects have been faithfully ingested into the system) | | Yes | Functional | Ingest |
| 65 | Ability to integrate digital forensic tools | | Yes | Operational | Ingest |
| 66 | Ability to ingest records into 'holding area' for future processing | | Yes | Operational | Ingest |

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----|--|-----|-----|-------------|-----------------------|
| 67 | Why are raw camera files recommended to stay in original format when they're proprietary? | | | Query | N/A |
| 68 | Tool search in progress for open office files? Progress? Timeframe? | | | Query | N/A |
| 69 | System should be known and established within heritage sector | | Yes | Operational | N/A |
| 70 | Does it generate metadata at object level? Is that metadata bound to each individual object or is it bound together at collection level? | | | Query | N/A |
| 71 | Ability to plug in other digital analysis tools i.e digital forensics, file viewers, appraisal tools (treeview) | | Yes | Operational | Preservation planning |
| 72 | Ability to transfer crowdsourced metadata back into Archivematica (to supplement descriptive metadata in the AIP) | Yes | | Operational | Data management |
| 73 | Ability to search for documents based on keywords within their contents | Yes | | Operational | Access |
| 74 | Ability to hide Submission documentation from user | Yes | | Operational | Data management |